







# IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NET-MAP ANALYSIS TOOL TO UNRAVEL THE SCIENCE-POLICY-SOCIETY COLLABORATION SYSTEM IN MYCOTOXIN RISK ANALYSIS

Celine Meerpoel<sup>1</sup>, Niels Van der Linden<sup>2</sup>, Sarah De Saeger<sup>1</sup>, Veronica M.T. Lattanzio<sup>3</sup>, Nunzia M. Cito<sup>3</sup>, Monika Tomaniova<sup>4</sup> and Pieternel Luning<sup>2</sup>

1 Ghent University, Department of Bioanalysis, Centre of Excellence in Mycotoxicology and Public Health, Ghent, Belgium 2 Wageningen University, Department of Agrotechnology and Food Sciences, Food Quality and Design, Wageningen, The Netherlands 3 National Research Council of Italy, Institute of Sciences of Food Production 4 University of Chemistry and Technology, Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition, Prague, Czech Republic

# INTRODUCTION

The Risk Analysis principle is the basis of the EU legal framework. This framework is undergoing significant changes under the amended **General Food law:** Regulation 2019/1381 on the transparency and sustainability of the EU risk assessment in the food chain.

Food safety expert (n = 30) interviews revealed the (re)occurrence of mycotoxins as an important point of attention



Several mycotoxin IN steps risk analysis are incomplete,

Need for legitimate platform to establish a dialogue between risk assessment and risk management by developing tools for evaluating procedures and enforcement practices and analysis of Science-Policy-Society (SPS) collaboration systems.

and this case was used to identify stakeholders involved in this risk analysis and how they interact by means of a **NET-MAP ANALYSIS** 

# **)** ANALYSIS OF THE SPS COLLABORATION SYSTEM

### **Aims of Net-mapping**

To analyze the science-policy-society collaboration system in a specific model case related to risk analysis



- Visualizing implicit knowledge and understanding **interplay**\_of networks, **power** relations and stakeholders' **goals**
- Uncovering sources of conflicts as well as potentials for cooperation
- Facilitating knowledge exchange and learning processes

### Methodology of a Net-Map analysis

**Online workshop** in with experts involved in mycotoxin risk analysis **Italy** and **Czechia** 

#### **STARTING THE SESSION**

Step 1- Identify stakeholders involved in risk analysis

Step 2- Identify stakeholders' goals in risk analysis

Step 3 - Characterize links between stakeholders in the system

Step 4 – Indicating stakeholders' influence

Step 5 – Identify constraints compromising risk analysis

Developing visions and strategies to achieve **common goals** 

#### **CLOSING THE SESSION**



## Results

#### Four types of linkages were assessed

- Legally required information sharing
- Voluntary information sharing
- Public communication
- Requesting new data

### Influence of each stakeholder was determined

#### **Identified constraints**

- Lack of resources, manpower, knowledge
- Lack of clear definitions
- Hindered flow in communication
- Complexity of bureaucracy



#### **Decentralized** structure:

3 main stakeholders with strong position and many interactions (Directorate General, National Institute of Health, Experimental Zooprophylactic Institutes) & decentralized nodes with relevant positioning and interlinks.

#### **Less decentralized** and fewer links compared to Italy

Most links represented by data providers such as universities (e.g. VSCHT), food safety authority (SZPI)/state veterinary administration (SVS), or organizations dealing with handling of these data, and communicating towards the society, such as ministries (MZd and MZe).

# **CONCLUSIONS**

The complex Net-Maps visualize how the SPS collaboration system regarding mycotoxins risk analysis of the is set up in each country. The most prominent stakeholders who take up a central role were identified. Many linkages between stakeholders were revealed, going from information sharing (legally required or voluntary), data requesting or communicating to the public. Moreover, in every country, constraints related to these linkages could be identified. These constraints can be used to define needs for improvements in the current SPS collaboration system. While the initial results are already very interesting to discuss, further validation and verification of the obtained conclusions is needed.



FoodSafety4EU has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme (H2020-EU.3.2.2.2. – Healthy and safe foods and diets for all) under Grant Agreement No. 101000613.

Further information : https://foodsafety4.eu/